

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. FILING DAT	E FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	R ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.			
10/712,005	11/14/200	B Dietmar Spanke		7817			
23364	7590 01/	17/2008	EXAM	EXAMINER			
BACON & THOMAS, PLLC 625 SLATERS LANE							
FOURTH	FLOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
ALEXANI	DRIA, VA 22314						
		•	DATE MAILED: 01/17/2008	3			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

٥ ٥							
Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief (37 CFR 41.37)		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/712,005	SPANKE, DIETN	MAR			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Isam Alsomiri	3662				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence a	address			
The Appeal Brief filed on 29 October 2007 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37.							
To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file anamended brief or other appropriate correction (see MPEP 1205.03) within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.							
1. 🗌	The brief does not contain the items required unheading or in the proper order.	f does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper or in the proper order.					
2. 🗌	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).						
3. 🗌	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).						
4. 🛛	(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).						
5. 🗌	The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi))						
6.	The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).						
7.	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).						
8.	The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner <b>and relied upon by appellant in the appeal</b> , along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).						
9.	The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)).						
10.	Other (including any explanation in support of t	he above items):					
	(4) The summary of the specification must include a to the specification by page and line number or draw specification and the drawings, the other independent converter" was not mapped. It is recommended for after each limitation, this way all the limitation are "m	ving reference character. Only claim nt claims were not. For example; in c the summary to recite the entire clair	14 was "mapped" laim 56, the "anal	<u>' to the</u> og-to-digital			

Isam Alsomiri Primary Examiner 571-272-6970